

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FOR NO. 450 Alcandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,014	12/10/2003 John W. Mates		42P17885	7517
8791	7590 07/11/2006	EXAMINER		
	SOKOLOFF TAYLO TIRE BOULEVARD	TREAT, WILLIAM M		
SEVENTH F		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGEL	ES, CA 90025-1030	2181		

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/733,014		MATES, JOHN W.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		William M. T		2181			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	December 200	0.3				
		nis action is no					
/	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
_							
· -	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 22-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election red	uirement.				
Application Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examin		l or h\M objected to I	hu tha Evaminar			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 June 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
3) 🛛 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date 4/24/2006.	,	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  Notice of Informal Pa  Other:		O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/733,014

Art Unit: 2181

1. Claims 1-42 are presented for examination.

2. The drawings are objected to because Figs. 7A and 7B have not been properly labeled as Prior Art. They depict nothing but configurations of prior art Intel systems. See Fig. 1 of Huff et al. (Patent No. 6,052,769) and Figs. 1 and 2 and their related description at col. 3, line 56 through col. 6, line 12 of Watson et al. (Patent No. 6,466,226). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 2

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,014

Art Unit: 2181

4. Claims 1-12 and 22-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

- 5. Independent claims 1 and 22 claim: "a processor including a decoder to implement a first and second flow synonym for a first instruction, said decoder to associate one of said first and second flow synonym with said first instruction, and a scheduler to schedule said one of said first and second flow synonym for execution". Paragraphs 14-17 of applicant's specification and the dependent claims of claims 1 and 2 make clear applicant is somehow trying to make the recited language cover the situation where the instruction is decoded into one flow synonym or two flow synonyms, is decoded into two flow synonyms and only one flow synonym is scheduled, and is decoded into two flow synonyms and two flow synonyms are scheduled. The language of claims 1 and 22 would require, at the very least, a lot of ORs in its construction as opposed to applicant's AND constructs to make the language of the independent claims at all clear. Applicant has attempted to describe 3 mutually exclusive situations with the same language, and therefore, the independent claims lack any clarity.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 1-12 and 22-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in

Application/Control Number: 10/733,014

Art Unit: 2181

the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Applicants are claiming 3 mutually exclusive actions (see paragraph 5, *supra*). For example, one cannot simultaneously schedule only one control flow and schedule two control flows for a given instruction.

- 8. Should applicant resolve his severe 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 112,1st paragraph problems so as to make clear what enabled invention applicant is claiming then his claims 1-12 and 22-33 may be subject to an art rejection.
- 9. Claims 13-21 and 34-42 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. Hartnett et al. (Patent No. 6,654,875).
- 12. Nanja et al. (Application US 2005/0055677 A1).
- 13. Adusumilli (Patent No. 6,438,700).
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to William M. Treat at telephone number (571) 272-4175.
- 15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WILLIAM M. TREAT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4